Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ON THE KENSINGTON RUNE STONE [1]

BREDA, Prof. O. J., *An interview giving an account of the discovery of the Rune Stone,* in the *Minneapolis Journal,* Feb. 22, 1899. News report, the first announcement of this discovery published in the Norwegian press, *Skandinaven,* Chicago, Feb. 22, 1899.

AABERG, E. E., *Further account of the discovery written by a local resident acquainted with its details,* in the *Skandinaven,* Chicago, March 1, 1899.

CURME, Prof. G. O., *Interview presenting in a brief paragraph his objection to the use of the decimal system in the inscription,* in the *Skandinaven,* March 1, 1899.

KIRKEBERG, Rev. O. L., *An able translation of the inscription with argument in favor of the genuineness of the stone,* in the *Skandinaven,* March 1, 1899.

CURME, Prof. G. O., *A lengthy interview, favoring the genuineness of the inscription, but objecting to the apparently English word "from,"* in the *Skandinaven,* March 3, 1899.

CONRADI, P. A., *Detailed discussion of the inscription, presenting arguments for and against its genuineness,* in the *Skandinaven,* March 10, 1899. Editorial article in the *Skandinaven,* March 15, 1899, summarizing the objection of Prof. Oluf Rygh as published in *Morganbladet,* Christiania, Norway. These are the supposed English words, "from," "of," "ded," and unusual runic characters.

FLOM, P. L., *Communication showing that "from" was in use in Norway in the middle Ages,* in the *Skandinaven,* March 24, 1899.

BREDA, Prof. O. J., *Interview giving a cablegram from professors of Christiana University, discrediting the inscription chiefly because of its numerous supposed English words,* in the *Minneapolis Tribune,* April 16, 1899. This opinion silenced all who had been interested in the Rune Stone, and we find nothing further printed about it until 1908.

HOLAND, Hjalmar Rued, *First account of the stone in the revival of the discussion, containing a detailed defense of its genuineness and a full translation,* in the *Skandinaven,* Jan. 17, 1908; printed also in several other Scandinavian newspapers.

HOLAND, H. R., The second chapter, pages 8–22, in his *De Norske Settlementers Historie* (Ephraim, Wis., 1908), gives an account of the visits to America by the early Norsemen between the years 1000 and 1362 and concludes with a description of the Kensington Rune Stone. A view of the stone is presented from a photograph, and its inscription is printed in the rune characters, with a manuscript transliteration.

HOLAND, H. R., *Notes of correspondence with Prof. Magnus Olsen and Helge Gjessing, of Christiana University, giving Mr. Gjessing's objections to the inscription and answers to them,* in the *Decorah Posten,* Decorah, Iowa, May 14, 1909.

GJESSING, Helge, *Runestenen fra Kensington.* The full publication of his objections, in *Smyra,* Decorah, Iowa, Vol. 5, No. 3, pp. 113–126, Sept. 1909.

IVERSLIE, P. P., *Kensingtonstenen.* An able support of Mr. Holand's arguments in favor of the stone and in opposition to Mr. Gjessing's conclusions, *Kvartalskrift,* Eau Claire, Wis., July 1909, pp. 13–21. Editorial article in *La Nature,* Paris, France, Aug. 14, 1909, giving the history of the discovery of the stone and discussions of it, and presenting the probability of its genuineness.

[1] Cf. this chronological list with the bibliography in the Minnesota Historical Society *Collections,* Vol. xv, pp. 261ss.

HOLAND, H. R., *An Explorer's Stone Record which antedates Columbus: a Tragic Inscription unearthed in Minnesota, recording the Fate of a Band of Scandinavian Adventurers,* in *Harper's Weekly,* Oct. 9, 1909, p. 15.

FOSSUM, Prof. Andrew, *Hudson Bay Route to Solve Problem.* A defense of the inscription by an able presentation of the feasibility of the explorers' route by the way of Hudson Bay, the Nelson River, Lake Winnipeg and the Red River, in the *Norwegian American,* Northfield, Minn., Oct. 22, 1909. This article was printed also in Norwegian in the *Skandinaven,* Oct. 26.

HOLAND, H. R., *The Skerries Discovered.* An account of the author's discovery of the skerries mentioned in the inscription, in the *Norwegian American,* Nov. 19, 1909. The same account in Norwegian, accompanied by a map of Pelican lake, showing the position of the skerries and probable location of the camp of the explorers, was published in the *Skandinaven,* Nov. 29.

ODLAND, M. W., *The Kensington Rune Stone is Genuine,* in the *Minneapolis Journal,* Nov. 29, 1909.

NORMAN, Rev. O. A., *More about the Rune Stone, . . . by one who was associated in the Discovery of the Skerries,* in the *Ashby* (Minn.) *Post,* Dec. 3, 1909. News report of a meeting of the Minnesota Historical Society, Dec. 13, 1909, giving synopses of addresses by H. R. Holand, Prof. N. H. Winchell, Prof. Andrew Fossum and Dr. Knut Hoegh, all in defense of the genuineness of the inscription, in the *Pioneer Press,* St. Paul, Minn., Dec. 14, 1909. News report, noting resolutions by the Council of the Minnesota Historical Society, requesting the Governor of Minnesota to institute a search in Paris for a supposed rune stone found in the Northwest by Verendrye in his expeditions of 1738–43, related by Peter Kalm in his *Travels into North America* (London edition, 1771, Vol. III, pp. 124–128), in *The Dispatch,* St. Paul, Dec. 14, 1909. News reports, more detailed, of the addresses on Dec. 13, in the meeting of this Historical Society, including nearly all of Professor Winchell's address, in the *Norwegian American,* Dec. 17, 1909.

HOEGH, Dr. Knut. Report by the chairman of a committee appointed by the Norwegian Society of Minneapolis to investigate the discovery of the stone. The report shows that it had lain where it was found since about 1860, at least, and strongly favors the genuineness of the inscription, in *Symra,* Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 178–189, Dec. 1909.

HOLAND, H. R., A reply in *Symra,* Vol. 5, No. 4, pp. 209–213, to the arguments of Mr. Gjessing in its preceding number as before cited.

UPHAM, Warren, *The Kensington Rune Stone, its Discovery, its inscriptions and Opinions concerning them,* in *Records of the Past,* Washington, D. C., Vol. IX, Part 1, pp. 3–7, Jan.–Feb., 1910; with prints from photographs showing the inscriptions on the face and edge of the stone.

DAAE, Dr. Anders, *Concise summary of the discussion up to date,* concluding that the opponents of the stone have not properly investigated the subject before forming their conclusions, in *Aftenposten,* Christiana, Norway, Jan. 18, 1910. News report of a meeting of the Chicago Historical Society, Feb. 3, 1910, in which an address relating to the probable genuineness of the Rune Stone was delivered by H. R. Holand, followed by arguments of Dr. Chester N. Gould, of Chicago University, and Prof. George T. Flom, of the University of Illinois, against it, in the *Skandinaven, Feb.* 3, 1910.

ANDERSON, Prof. Rasmus B., *Prof. Anderson calls it a Fraud,* a sharp attack on the Rune Stone and Mr. Holand's integrity, in the *Wisconsin State Journal,* Madison, Wis., Feb. 7, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R. Rebuttal of the arguments presented in the preceding article, in the *Wisconsin State Journal*, Feb. 8, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R. An interview entitled, *Wed with Indians*, presenting the probability that the blue-eyed Mandan Indians are the result of intermarriages of the explorers of 1362 with the Indians of that region, *Pioneer Press*, Feb. 15, 1910.

ANDERSON, Prof. R. B. Editorial attacks against the Kensington stone and Mr. Holand, in *Amerika*, Madison, Wis., Feb. 18, 1910. In the next issue of *Amerika*, Feb. 25, are a letter by Warren Upham, Secretary of the Minnesota Historical Society, concerning that society's investigation of the stone and its inscriptions and Professor Anderson's editorial reply.

GATES, Rev. Horatio. A summary of the discovery and discussion with numerous references supporting the genuineness of the inscriptions, in the *Republican Gazette*, Willmar, Minn., March 24, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., *A Fourteenth-Century Columbus*, noting that a Norse expedition under the command of Paul Knutson sailed from Bergen to Greenland in 1355 and returned in 1364, and that probably they went into Hudson bay and thence advanced inland to the site of the Kensington stone, in *Harper's Weekly*, March 26, 1910.

HAGEN, Prof. O. E., *Ad Utrumque Parati Simus*. An interesting discussion of the credentials of this Rune Stone, with the conclusion that the runes and the language of the inscription will yield "its own vindication or condemnation," in *Amerika*, April 1, 1910.

HUSEBY, Olaf. A defense of the language of the stone, particularly of the word "from," in the *Skandinaven*, April 9, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., A reply to Professor Flom's objections to the inscription, as presented by him at the meeting, Feb. 3, of the Chicago Historical Society, in the *Skandinaven*, April 21, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., *The Oldest Native Document in America*, an address delivered before the Minnesota Historical Society as before noted Dec. 13, 1909, giving a narration of the finding of the Rune Stone, with affidavits relating thereto, and a full statement of the arguments, general, runic, and linguistic, on both sides of the controversy, showing the probable reliability of the inscription as a historical record, in *Journal of American History*, Vol. IV, No. 2, pp. 165–184, April 1910.

BREDA, Prof. O. J., *Rundt Kensington-stenen*. A satirical article, noting the improbabilities of an exploration so far inland, and reminding the reader of the adverse opinions uttered by Norse scholars when the stone was found, in *Symra*, Vol. 6, No. 2, pp. 65–80, May, 1910.

DIESERUD, Juul, *Holand og Kensingtonspogen*. Detailed objections against the language of the inscription, in the *Skandinaven*, May 4, and *Amerika*, May 13, 1910.

WINCHELL, Prof. N. H., News report entitled *I believe the stone is genuine*, in the *Norwegian American*, Northfield, Minn., May 13, 1910. This article and others in the St. Paul and Minneapolis newspapers, May 10–12, contain extracts from the Report of the Museum Committee of the Minnesota Historical Society, read by Professor Winchell at the society's monthly meeting, May 9.

HOLAND, H. R., *Kensington-stenen*. Lengthy replies to Mr. Diesérud's objections stated in the foregoing article, in the *Skandinaven*, May 18 and 23, 1910.

ANDERSON, Prof. R. B., *The Kensington Rune Stone once more: Draw your own conclusions.* This article claims that one Andrew Anderson practically admitted to the writer that he and Olof Ohman, the finder of the stone, assisted a former preacher named Fogelblad in forging the inscription, in *Amerika,* May 27, 1910; reprinted also in the *Democrat,* Madison, Wis., of the same date.

WINCHELL, Prof. N. H., *Letters from Rune Suspects.* Letters of Andrew Anderson and Olof Ohman, denying and disproving the preceding accusation, and showing the impossibility of any collusion between them, in the *Norwegian American,* June 10, 1910.

ANDERSON, Prof. R. B., and Prof. N. H. WINCHELL, *Opinions differ on the Rune Stone.* An interview with the former, accusing Rev. Sven Fogelblad of making the inscription, and letters from the latter and from Andrew Anderson, refuting that statement, in the *Minneapolis Journal,* June 10, 1910.

IVERSLIE, P. P., Rebuttal of the arguments against the inscription presented by Mr. Diesérud as before noted, in *Amerika,* June 10, 17, and 24, 1910.

DAAE, Dr. Anders, *Var Normandene i Amerika i* 1362? Review of recent developments in the discussion, including a signed invitation from the professors at Christiana University that the stone be brought there for renewed investigation, in *Aftenposten,* Christiana, Norway, June 12, 1910.

FLOM, Prof. George T., *The Kensington Rune Stone; a modern inscription from Douglas County, Minnesota.* This address, delivered to the Illinois State Historical Society at its Annual Meeting, May 5–6, 1910, is a very elaborate array of arguments, from many points of view, against the genuineness of this rune inscription, with intimation that Mr. Fogelblad may have been its author. *Publications* of the Illinois State Historical Library, No. 15, June, 1910. 43 pages, with a large plate view of the rune stone, showing separately the records on its face and edge, and a plate of the runic alphabets used in the Scanian Law, the Lament of the Virgin, and this Kensington inscription.

SCHAEFER, Rev. Francis J., *The Kensington Rune Stone.* Narration of the discovery, description of the stone, with a plate from photographs and discussion of the inscription, concluding that it probably is genuine, in *Acta et Dicta* (published by the St. Paul Catholic Historical Society), Vol. II, No. 2, pp. 206–210, July, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., Reply to the article last cited, in the *Skandinaven,* July 29, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., Report of a thorough investigation of the rumor relating to Sven Fogelblad, entirely exonerating him from complicity in authorship of the inscription, in *Skandinaven,* Aug. 4, and the *Minneapolis Journal,* Aug. 9, 1910; reprinted in the preceding pages 57–60.

IVERSLIE, P. P., *Comments on the Rune Stone,* in support of its genuineness, in the *Norwegian American,* Aug. 12, 1910.

GREVSTAD, N. A., Editorial review of Professor Flom's address, before noted, the reviewer's conclusion being that the arguments in favor of the stone are stronger than its opponents admit, in the *Skandinaven,* Sept. 5, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., *Mere om Kensington Stenen.* Statement of the geological features of the stone, and notes of the opinions of experts concerning the antiquity of the inscription, in the *Skandinaven,* Sept. 17, 1910.

PETTERSON, A. E., An interesting summary of Icelandic traditions of late voyages to Vinland, supporting the genuineness of the stone, in the *Skandinaven,* Sept. 24, 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., *Are there English Words on the Kensington Rune Stone?* An investigation of the supposed English words (the most common objection) showing them to be of ancient Norse usage, exhibiting philological features impossible for a forger. *Records of the Past,* Vol. IX, Part V, pp. 240–245, Sept.-Oct. 1910.

HOLAND, H. R., *The Kensington Rune Stone,* in the *Wisconsin Magazine of History,* Vol. III, (1919) No. 2, pp. 153–183. Presents the latest phases of the discussion concerning the Rune Stone.

HOLAND, H. R., *Further Discoveries concerning the Kensington Rune Stone, ibid.,* Vol. III (1919), No. 3, pp. 332–338.

REV. FRANCIS J. SCHAEFER, D.D.
St. Paul, Minn.